

PROPOSED REVISION OF DAVIS DIVISION REGULATION 550:

Academic Dishonesty

Endorsed by the Undergraduate Council.

Endorsed by the Executive Council.

Rationale: The revised regulation proposal will now more closely align with the revised Academic Code of Conduct and provide better clarity. The revisions are the result of the Academic Senate appointed Academic Integrity workgroup as a result of faculty concerns over academic dishonesty and proposed steps to be taken to alleviate incidents of academic dishonesty.

Proposed Revision: Davis Division Regulation 550 shall be amended as follows. Deletions are indicated by ~~strikeout~~; additions are in **bold type**.

550. Academic ~~Dishonesty~~ **Misconduct**

~~(A) Examinations in any course on the Davis campus may be monitored only when deemed necessary by the instructor.~~

(A) The Code of Academic Conduct governs academic integrity at UC Davis. The Office of Student Support and Judicial Affairs (OSSJA) has authority and is responsible for adjudication and resolution of academic misconduct cases.

~~(B) A grade of "0" or "F" may be given for any examination or assignment submitted by a student to satisfy course requirements if cheating, plagiarism, or any form of academic dishonesty is admitted to have occurred, or is determined by proper adjudication to have occurred, in performance of the work. If the student admits or is determined after adjudication to have committed a violation of the Code of Academic Conduct which does not involve dishonesty, the faculty member may assign an appropriate grade penalty for the misconduct.~~

(B) UC Davis course examinations should be monitored, at the discretion of the instructor.

~~(C) Any contested accusation of cheating, plagiarism, or other violation of the Code of Academic Conduct in an examination or assignment submitted by a student to satisfy course requirements shall be adjudicated by a faculty student committee appointed by the Chief Campus Officer in consultation with the Davis Division Committee on Committees.~~

(C) If an instructor has a reasonable suspicion of academic misconduct, whether admitted by the student or not, the instructor shall report the matter to the Office of Student Support and Judicial Affairs.

~~(D) — Whether admitted or not, any case in which the instructor has substantial suspicions of student misconduct should be reported by the instructor to the Office of Student Support and Judicial Affairs. (Am. 3/13/95 and effective 9/1/95)~~

(D) A faculty/student panel, convened by OSSJA, shall conduct formal hearings for contested cases of academic misconduct and recommend findings to OSSJA, unless the right to a formal hearing has been withdrawn. The right to a formal hearing may be withdrawn because of a prior finding of misconduct. The panel will be selected from a faculty/student committee appointed by the Chief Campus Officer, in consultation with the Davis Division Committee on Committees nominating the faculty members.

(E) The instructor has authority to determine a grade penalty when academic misconduct is admitted or is determined by adjudication to have occurred; with a maximum grade penalty of “F” for the course.

(F) When a student is suspended for academic misconduct, the student’s academic transcript will carry the following notation during the period of suspension: “Disciplinary Suspension from UC Davis for Academic Misconduct.”

(G) When a student is dismissed for academic misconduct, the student’s academic transcript will carry the following notation: “Disciplinary Dismissal from the University of California for Academic Misconduct.”