Davis Division Academic Senate

Request for Consultation Responses

2ND Review: APM 600 Revisions - UC wide review

November 21, 2013

This is the 2nd review of these revisions. The enclosed document provides a summary of comments received from each Division of the Academic Senate. Please note there is also a web link provided to allow committee review of track change versions of policy revisions. There is a short turnaround for this item given it is a 2nd review.
The Affirmative Action & Diversity Committee suggests the following revisions to the definition of Regent's Professor 290-4a bottom of page 2 and b Regent's Lecturer on the top of page 3.

The Regents’ Professor’s achievements in agriculture, banking, commerce, engineering, industry, labor, law, medicine, POLICY, HUMAN RIGHTS or any other non-academic field in the HUMANITIES, arts, sciences or professions are equivalent to those on which appointments to regular University professorships are based.

The Regents’ Lecturer’s achievements in agriculture, banking, commerce, engineering, industry, labor, law, medicine, POLICY, HUMAN RIGHTS or any other non-academic field in the HUMANITIES, arts, sciences or professions are equivalent to those on which appointments to regular University lectureships are based.
Response continued on next page.
APM 600 Revisions - 2nd Review

The Committee on Academic Personnel (CAP) has reviewed the documents pertaining to the second round of revisions to APM 600. Those sections previously of most concern to CAP are 510-18-c, d and h. We are perplexed to note that none of these sections is included in the materials now circulating, in either redlined or 'final' form. Thus, we are unable to offer any comment. We reiterate, however, our previous concern about barrier steps and about the role of Senate approval in promotions and advancements.
No response at this time.
Faculty Welfare

November 18, 2013 11:50 AM

The FWC has no objections to the revisions of the APM.
No response at this time.