



Davis Division Academic Senate

Request for Consultation Responses

Review of PPM 320-19 and 320-20

May 1, 2017

Distributed for review are UCD PPM 320-19 (a new policy) and UCD PPM 320-20 (a revised policy). In summary, requirements for access to public records have been removed from 320-20 and transferred to a standalone policy in 320-19. Other minor revisions and new items are included in the policies, as outlined by the UCD policy analyst below:

Section: 320-19, Access to Public Records

Purpose: New Policy. Access to Public Records requirements have been removed from PPM 320-20. This section provides the policy and procedures regarding requests to access public records in accordance with the California Public Records Act.

Section: 320-20, Privacy of and Access to Information

Purpose: Removes access to public records requirements as they are now included in new PPM 320-19, Access to Public Records; updates title; removes reference to BFB RMP8 which has been rescinded; adds links; corrects references and titles; editorial changes for clarity.

Academic Freedom & Responsibility

May 8, 2017 2:32 PM

Response continued on next page.

UC DAVIS: ACADEMIC SENATE
COMMITTEE ON ACADEMIC FREEDOM AND RESPONSIBILITY

May 1, 2017

RFC: Revisions of PPM 320-19 and 320-20

The committee on Academic Freedom and Responsibility agrees with the revisions, but suggests including a document that clearly summarizes the changes and a brief narrative scenarios that illustrate the new regulations and rules. This would make the document more understandable and easier to comply with for faculty across the university

Faculty Welfare

May 1, 2017 4:41 PM

Response continued on next page.

May 1, 2017

RFC: Review of PPM 320-19 and 320-20

The committee on Faculty Welfare reviewed the PPM 320-19 and 320-20 revisions. The committee would like to point out the inherent challenge that comes with defining personal information. To make the policies more transparent, and simpler to use, the document should clearly define what is not considered personal information that could be restricted under policy. In principle, a clear definition of “non-personal information” can be made, and included in the policies, in list form.