CHAIRS OF SENATE DIVISIONS
CHAIRS OF SENATE STANDING COMMITTEES

March 30, 2012

Dear Division and Committee Chairs:

On behalf of Academic Council Chair Bob Anderson I am forwarding for full Senate review proposed revisions to Sections 010, 015 and 016 of the Academic Personnel Manual.

- **APM – 010 (Academic Freedom) and APM – 015 (The Faculty Code of Conduct)**
  Proposed revised language in both policies to include within the protections of academic freedom, the freedom to speak on matters of institutional policy.

- **APM – 016 (University Policy on Faculty Conduct and the Administration of Discipline)**
  Remedies the omission of a single, clear statement in the Academic Personnel Manual that faculty will comply with University policy.

The proposals are on the Web at [http://www.ucop.edu/acadpersonnel/apm/review.html](http://www.ucop.edu/acadpersonnel/apm/review.html).

The proposed revisions have already undergone a preliminary informal review by Senate committees on faculty welfare and academic personnel and selected administrators, which generated a number of substantive comments. These are summarized in Vice Provost Susan Carlson’s cover letter (attached). Comment has focused on the implications of specific language choices in the extension of academic freedom to include freedom to comment on University policy. There has been little or no comment on the addition to APM of a statement that faculty will comply with University policy as well as rules and regulations.

Vice Provost Carlson has extended the review period for the Academic Senate in order to allow for discussion at the June 27 meeting of the Academic Council. Accordingly, your comments are requested by **June 20, 2012**. Please submit your comments to SenateReview@ucop.edu. As always, every committee is invited to opine on this report, but no committee is obligated to so if the committee considers the report to be outside the scope of its charge.

Sincerely,
March 23, 2012

ACADEMIC COUNCIL CHAIR ANDERSON
COUNCIL OF VICE CHANCELLORS
LABORATORY DIRECTOR ALIVISATOS
ANR VICE PRESIDENT ALLEN-DIAZ

Dear Colleagues:

Re: Systemwide Review of Proposed Revised APM – 010, Academic Freedom; APM – 015, The Faculty Code of Conduct; and APM – 016, University Policy on Faculty Conduct and the Administration of Discipline

Enclosed for Systemwide Review are proposed revisions to Academic Personnel Policy (APM) Sections 010, Academic Freedom and 015, The Faculty Code of Conduct. Also enclosed for Systemwide Review are proposed revisions to APM section 016, University Policy on Faculty Conduct and the Administration of Discipline. Proposed language in 016 remedies the omission of a single, clear statement in the Academic Personnel Manual that faculty will comply with University policy.

At its meeting on January 26, 2011, the Academic Council adopted a resolution proposed by the Committee on Academic Freedom and reviewed by Senate committees to recommend revising the language of Sections 010 and 015 of the APM to include within the protections of academic freedom the freedom to speak on matters of institutional policy.

Following distribution of proposed revised language during Management Consultation, Academic Personnel received a broad set of comments from campus administration and Senate committees, which are summarized below for your consideration.

Some reviewers cited recent court cases including Garcetti v. Ceballos, 547 U.S. 410 (2006), as the impetus for adding the proposed language. More recently, similar issues arose in the case at Washington State University as cited in The Chronicle of Higher Education.1 One reviewer, citing Sweezy v. New Hampshire, 354 U.S. 234 (1957), commented that the University should seek to strengthen APM – 010 with respect to the core of scholarship and teaching, rather than trying to develop an academic freedom notion of shared governance extending to all policy areas affecting the University.

Other reviewers cited various publications, including Larry Spurgeon’s “A Transcendent Value: The Quest to Safeguard Academic Freedom”2 and Frederick Schaffer’s “A Guide to Academic Freedom”3 along with

---

the American Association of University Professors principal founder Arthur Lovejoy’s definition of
Academic Freedom.4

Some reviewers commented that the phrase “...when acting as a member of the faculty...” introduces
ambiguity and should be clarified or dropped. Other reviewers would narrow the proposed expansion of
protections under APM – 010 and APM – 015, believing that faculty have the freedom to address matters of
institutional policy or action now within shared governance, commenting that expanding the definition of
protected speech may dilute the core concept of academic freedom, or pose a threat to the legal status of
academic freedom more broadly. These reviewers questioned the need for the revision, adding that the
practical implications of the proposed new language are unclear. Yet other reviewers interpreted the
language to mean that “…the University has a valid interest in controlling a faculty member’s speech when
he or she is not acting as a member of the faculty but gives no guidance for determining when that is the
case.” Some believe that the term “institutional policy or action” is too vague and others are supportive of
the proposed draft language as written. Lastly, one reviewer questioned the appropriateness of the clause
“...whether or not as a member of an agency of institutional governance.”

Systemwide Review is a public review distributed to the Chancellors and Executive Vice Chancellors
requesting that they inform the general University community, affected employees, and unions about the
policy proposals. Systemwide Review also includes a mandatory, three-month full Senate review.

Employees should be afforded the opportunity to review and comment on the revisions, which are available
online at: http://www.ucop.edu/acadpersonnel/apm/review.html. Enclosed is a model communication
which can be used to inform non-exclusively represented employees affected by these proposals.

This letter and enclosures anticipate that you will begin Systemwide Review of the proposed draft and
submit comments no later than June 21, 2012. Please send your comments and any questions to
Janet.Lockwood@ucop.edu.

Sincerely,

Susan L. Carlson
Vice Provost
Academic Personnel

cc: President Yudof
    Chancellors
    Provost Pitts
    Vice President Duckett
    Senior Vice President Vacca
    Vice Provosts of Academic Personnel
    Academic Personnel Directors
    Executive Director Tanaka
    Executive Director Winnacker
    Executive Director Rodrigues
    Deputy General Counsel Birnbaum
    Senior Counsel Van Houten
    Associate Director Chester
    Associate Director Fishel

4 1940 Statement on Principles of Academic Freedom and Tenure, AAUP
Manager Lockwood
Systemwide Policy Coordinator Capell
Human Resources Analyst Bello
Senior Administrative Analyst Rupert
Administrative Officer Abbott
Martha Kendall Winnacker, J.D.
Executive Director, Academic Senate

Encl. (1)

Cc: Division directors
Committee analysts