

Resolutions Passed Up to May 20th, 2016:

Source: academicsenate.ucdavis.edu

Resolution #1: (by [Executive Council](#), May 1st, subsequently endorsed by [Representative Assembly](#) May 3rd)

Whereas, The Executive Council of the Davis Division of the Academic Senate is deeply concerned over the handling of recent events regarding Chancellor Katehi; and

Whereas, The combination of the abruptness with which the decision to suspend Chancellor Katehi was announced and the lack of communication and consultation with the Division Senate as the situation developed creates the perception that the decision was driven by forces outside UC Davis;

and Whereas, The lack of consultation is not consistent with shared governance; and Whereas, The potential damage to the reputation of UC Davis is of special concern;

Resolved, **That the Executive Council respectfully requests that, in consultation with the Chair of the Davis Division of the Academic Senate, President Napolitano make every possible effort to mitigate damage to the UC Davis institution; and That, the Executive Council urges President Napolitano to conclude the investigation of Chancellor Katehi within a month of its initiation, or as soon as possible.**

Resolution #2 (by [Executive Council](#) May 2nd, subsequently endorsed by [Representative Assembly](#) May 3rd)

Whereas, the University of California has a tradition of shared governance between the administration and the Academic Senate; and

Whereas, the public nature of charges against the UC Davis Chancellor has significant implications for the governance of the campus as well as for the campus's reputation and the welfare of all members of the campus community; and

Whereas, it is critically important for any investigation of the charges to be conducted in a thorough and impartial manner in order to generate confidence in both the process and the results;

Resolved, **That the Davis Division of the Academic Senate, through representatives, play a role in defining the scope of the investigation, the manner in which it is conducted, and the substance of the analysis and its conclusions.**

Resolution #3 (by [Representative Assembly](#), May 3rd)

The Representative Assembly of the Davis Division requests that President Napolitano conduct an expedited review of the policies that govern compensated outside professional activities undertaken by the University's Senior Management Group employees (as defined in Regents Policy 7707) and, in consultation with the Academic Senate, implement any needed changes in those policies as soon as possible.

Resolution #4 (by [Academic Council](#), May 5)

The Academic Council of the University of California requests that President Napolitano conduct an expedited review of the policies and procedures that govern compensated outside professional activities undertaken by the University's Senior Management Group employees (as defined in Regents Policy 7707) and, in consultation with the Academic Senate, implement any needed changes in those policies as soon as possible.

Resolution #5 (by [Executive Council](#) – May 19th)

Resolved, That the Davis Division of the Academic Senate relies on Ms. Haag to be thorough, unbiased, fair-minded and persuasive in the way she conducts the investigation, and in her ultimate analysis and conclusions; and

Resolved, That the Division reserves the option to investigate issues that remain unresolved or that raise concerns.

Resolution #6 (by [Executive Council](#) – May 19th)

Whereas, the University of California has a tradition of shared governance between the administration and the Academic Senate;

Whereas, the public nature of charges against the UC Davis Chancellor has significant implications for the governance of the campus as well as for the campus's reputation and the welfare of all members of the campus community;

Whereas, President Napolitano released a letter to the Sacramento Bee in which she leveled charges of misconduct against Chancellor Katehi;

Whereas, President Napolitano set in motion an investigation of Chancellor Katehi;

Whereas, it is critically important that any investigation of the charges be conducted in a thorough and impartial manner in order to generate confidence in both the process and the results; and

Whereas, a thorough and impartial investigation of the charges will require that President Napolitano be interviewed as a witness;

Resolved, That the Executive Council requests that President Napolitano carefully keep in mind any potential conflict of interest on her part in taking any action regarding the investigation.

Resolution #7 (by [Representative Assembly](#) – May 20th)

Whereas, The Executive Council may identify questions that it believes should be investigated by Ms. Haag; and

Whereas, The Representative Assembly of the Davis Division has called for the involvement of the Chair of the Division in the oversight of the investigation being conducted by Ms. Haag;

Resolved, That the Executive Council authorizes its Chair to communicate all concerns,

information and questions regarding the on-going investigation through Senior Vice President Vacca;

Resolved, That all such requests are to include a time-line by which a response to the Chair is expected from Ms. Vacca;

Resolved, That for each such request, the Chair be informed as to whether issues raised by it:

i) will be investigated as part of Ms. Haag's on-going investigation;

ii) will not be investigated as part of Ms. Haag's on-going investigation, but will be investigated by Ms. Vacca's office under University whistleblower policies; or

iii) will not be investigated by Ms. Haag or by Ms. Vacca's office; and Resolved, That for each such request, the Executive Council reserves the right to pursue any line of inquiry that Ms. Vacca informs the Chair will not be pursued by Investigator Haag