1. Minutes of the June 3, 2004 meeting
   Minutes approved.
2. Announcements by the President – None
3. Announcements by the Vice Presidents – None
   A. Announcements by the Chief Campus Officer – Larry Vanderhoef
   The Chancellor addressed shared governance and the comprehensive gift campaign. The Chancellor stated that shared governance is a partnership that separates authority over all facets of the “student experience” (course, curriculum, graduation requirements, admissions) to the faculty and authority over resources (distribution of financial resources equitably) to the administration, which is delegated, thereby obligating consultation (not all authority is invested in a single body). Some, which is delegated, aspects of shared governance work well, such as the personnel review system. The absence of frequent disagreement at the end of reviews is an indication that the system works well.

   The budget process may be improved through more consultation although complexity makes it difficult to develop a mechanism to allow informed exchange when consultants (faculty) are not working on the budget full time (administrators). The Chancellor and Academic Senate Chair are working on a mechanism in an attempt to improve shared governance related to the budget.

   The Comprehensive Campaign is focused on raising 900 million dollars over 6 to 7 years. This type of campaign is not uncommon for institutional members of the AAU. UC Davis is a young but highly progressive institution that has come a long way in a short period of time. Vice Chancellor Celeste Rose will be working with the Academic Senate in the next few weeks to involve the Senate in all aspects of the campaign. The campaign will impact faculty work, goals, priorities and campus accomplishments. The campaign will incur costs but it is a good investment.

   The Chancellor was asked if the Comprehensive Campaign included proposals for new classroom space. The Chancellor agreed that the current campus position is not good. However, there are projects including classroom space in the pipeline. Additionally, campaign proposals do currently include classroom space; however, it is not known if those proposals will survive when campaign advisors begin to review proposals and provide feedback concerning the viability of raising funds.

   The Chancellor was asked if he would return to the Representative Assembly after the Shared Governance Report is issued to discuss the issues and recommendations outlined in the report expected to be released in spring 2005. The Chancellor is willing to return based on an invitation from the Academic Senate Chair.

4. Announcements by Deans, Directors, or other Executive Officers – None
   A. Special orders
   B. Remarks by the Chair of the Graduate Student Association, Jonathan Karpel---
GSA Chair Karpel announced a new office manager and a Graduate Student Association web site http://www.gsa.ucdavis.edu. The GSA is now in its second year of enjoying an increased budget. The increased budget has brought more responsibility in that GSA is now tasked with dispersing to Graduate Students funds to attend conferences, graduate student research activities, and graduate student clubs and organizations. The GSA has a long term goal of holding financial planning meetings to guide the dispersement of funds as well as champion the proposal for a Graduate Student Resource Center, (envisioned to be a one stop shop for graduate student services) to assist in making the proposal a reality. There is a call for GSA funding proposals in May/June and January/February. Questions may be addressed to Karpel at gsachair@ucdavis.edu.

C. Remarks by the President of ASUCD, Kalen Gallagher---unable to attend

D. Remarks by the Chair of the Davis Division of the Academic Senate, Daniel L. Simmons

Executive Council appointed a Special Committee on Shared Governance. The committee’s report will be issued soon. The budget process is under review. We are working with Chancellor and Provost to facilitate collaboration between the Deans and College Executive Committees. The Executive Committee Chairs will provide input to CAPBR. CAPBR will determine the Senate’s priorities based on the input from the Executive Committee Chairs. This effort is time consuming and difficult to establish, but it will grow over time. It is critical to move the Academic Senate forward as an organization developing an understanding of budgetary allocations in order to provide meaningful input into campus budgetary allocation and influence over the institution.

5. Reports of standing committees
   A. *Annual Report of the Academic Personnel Oversight Committee
   B. *Annual Report of the Academic Personnel Appellate Committee
   C. *Annual Reports of the Committee on Elections, Rules and Jurisdiction
   D. *Annual Report of the Graduate Council
   E. *Annual Report of the Joint Senate/Federation Personnel Committee
      (Will be a meeting handout)
   F. *Annual Report of the College of Letters and Science

Action: There were no motions to remove items from the consent calendar.

8. Petitions of students –
There is a student petition that remains under review by the 2003-04 Executive Council. An Executive Council finding is expected. If the issue must come to the Representative Assembly for a vote, the chair is committed to assuring there was ample time for discussion.

9. Unfinished business – None
10. University and faculty welfare – None
11. New business

   A. Proposed Change to the Davis Undergraduate Admissions Formula
   The assembly discussed the proposal.
   Motion: Accept the recommendation of the Committee on Admissions and Enrollment
   Action: Approved

   B. Proposal to Implement Davis Division Regulation 544
   Following assembly discussion of the proposal there was an amendment proposed.
   Motion: Amendment proposed by the assembly to change the wording of implementation guideline “5” to read “It is the student’s responsibility to seek information about financial aid implications…” rather than “the student shall be informed about the financial aid implications…”
   Action: Amended proposal approved.
C. Bridgit Van Belleghem: Sustainability Policy
Following introductions, the assembly was asked to endorse the creation of the Campus Advisory Group on Sustainability.

Motion: Endorse creation of the Campus Advisory Group on Sustainability
Action: Approved
Next Step: Academic Senate Chair to communicate resolution to the Chancellor. A copy of the resolution is attached to this transcript.

D. Patriot Act Resolution
Discussion of the Executive Council recommendation to review and possibly develop a Patriot Act Resolution. UCB, UCI and UCSC all passed similar resolutions during the 03-04 academic year. Academic Council adopted a system wide Patriot Act Resolution during summer 04. The assembly discussed the Patriot Act and the intent of the resolution at which time a motion was made.

Motion: Delete the last provision.
Action: Motion failed (49:8)

Motion: Approve the resolution as presented.
Action: Motion passed (51:6)
Next Step: Academic Senate Chair to communicate resolution to the Chancellor. A copy of the resolution is attached to this transcript.

Meeting was adjourned at 3:59 PM.

Reminder: Next Representative Assembly Meeting Wednesday, February 23, 2005, 2:10-4:00 PM, MU II

Vicki Smith, Secretary
Representative Assembly of the Davis Division of the Academic Senate

*Consent Calendar. Items will be removed from the Consent Calendar on the request of any member of the Representative Assembly.

All voting members of the Academic Senate (and others on the ruling of the Chair) shall have the privilege of attendance and the privilege of the floor at meetings of the Representative Assembly, but only members of the Representative Assembly may make or second motions or vote.