Colleagues,

I am pleased to report that the system wide Non-Resident Tuition Memorial to the Regents of the University of California was passed. As you will recall, this memorial was initiated by the Davis Division during the fall quarter. The results are overwhelmingly supportive:

Total votes: 4167
Yes votes: 3447
No votes: 720
Invalid ballots: 144

I am hopeful the Regents will be supportive of the Non-Resident Tuition Memorial and that we will soon see the elimination of non-resident tuition at all 10 UC Campuses.

Sincerely,

Dan Simmons
Professor of Law
Chair of the Davis Division of the Academic Senate
May 25, 2006

ROBERT C. DYNES
PRESIDENT

RE: For Transmittal to The Regents of the University of California: The Academic Senate Memorial to The Regents on Non-Resident Tuition for Graduate Students

Dear Bob,

As you will recall, at its February 8, 2006 the Academic Assembly voted in favor of initiating a Memorial to The Regents in accordance with Academic Senate Bylaw 90 requesting “that The Regents of the University of California structure and advocate a budget for the University that eliminates non-resident tuition for academic graduate students.” The ballot on the following page was soon thereafter submitted to a mail vote of all Academic Senate members.

At the May 10, 2006 meeting of the Academic Assembly, I announced that the Academic Senate Faculty had voted overwhelmingly in favor of the Proposed Memorial on Non-Resident Tuition. Of the 4167 members who voted, 83% of the members voted in favor of the Memorial. Therefore, as directed by Academic Senate Bylaw 90, and in accordance with Standing Order of the Regents 105.2e I am forwarding to you for submission to the Regents the Memorial along with a tabulation of the votes cast.

Sincerely,

John Oakley, Chair
Academic Assembly

Encl: 2

Copy: Academic Assembly
María Bertero-Barceló, Executive Director
MEMORIAL TO THE REGENTS
NON-RESIDENT TUITION

Ballot --- Memorial to the UC Regents

Should the following statement be sent to the President of the University for transmission to the UC Regents?

RECITALS:

1. University of California research and development activities are important economic, intellectual, social, cultural and educational drivers for the State of California.

2. The ability to recruit the best graduate students from around-the-world is critical to the academic and research excellence of the University of California.

3. Large numbers of non-resident graduate students finish their degrees and remain in California to start or become leaders in high-technology, bio-technology, and other businesses that contribute substantially to the California economy. Many also remain in California as the next generation of research scholars.

4. California’s competition in the global marketplace requires that we compete successfully for the best graduate students from around the world.

5. Non-resident tuition is a serious impediment to recruitment of graduate students from outside of the State of California, and, most particularly, graduate students from outside of the United States.

6. Non-resident tuition charged to academic graduate students (those students with terminal academic degree educational goals such as Ph.D. and MFA programs) is typically not paid by the student but is charged to faculty grants and other university resources. Thus, charging non-resident tuition to academic graduate students is a shift of university funds depleting resources that may be used for other purposes.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

The Academic Senate of the University of California requests that the Regents of the University of California structure and advocate a budget for the University that eliminates non-resident tuition for academic graduate students.

YES_______  NO_______
## RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAMPUS</th>
<th>“YES” VOTES</th>
<th>“NO” VOTES</th>
<th>VOTES NOT ACCOUNTED IN YES/NO TALLY</th>
<th>TOTAL # OF VALID VOTES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Berkeley</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irvine</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merced</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverside</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Barbara</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>3,447</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>4,167</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>