Information Technology Committee
Wednesday October 14, 2015
3:30-4:30pm
Mrak Hall, Room 63

Agenda

Attending Members: Matt Bishop (Chair), James Fadel, Vladimir Yarov-Yarovoy, Jeremy Lea (AF Rep)

I. CIT welcome and introductions
II. State of the Learning Management System selection
   a. A year and half study was done and gathered input from faculty. FEC’s also had a chance to weigh in. Many FEC’s made a strong opinion, and many will be happy with the decision that has been made.
   b. Decision is not public because negotiations are still occurring with that group. The announcement is very close and is in final negotiations. There will be the same privacy as is in Smartsite.
   c. Spring will be 50 people – the early adoption group. The feedback will decide what needs to be done over summer before complete rollout in Fall
   d. Projects will be moved as well. It might be moved to somewhere besides LMS. Perhaps cloud based storage would be better.
   e. Teams will come to departments and hold learning workshops. Videos will also be created, in addition to the company’s tutorial videos. There will be no charge. New staff is being hired to support this. Three-member teams will come to departments do training and support. Students will also be trained to teach new system and will hold drop-in opportunities.
      i. Students will be helping faculty to migrate course content. Can not promise that there will be much automated transfers of info (faculty will have to manually migrate). New system has a better exit
strategy. Student support team will not help move sensitive information.

f. Transition is being done gradually, and colleagues will show that it is not that bad to those who are frustrated with change.

g. All schools (extension, med center, vet med) will all be using same system, unlike now. There will be no charge for service, so there will be no incentive to run your own.

h. Jim Fadel- I hope privacy is being addressed.
   i. Yes, institution owns data, not vendor.

III. Faculty email account migrations (Feedback needed by 10/16)

a. Notices seem the emphasize Microsoft more than Davis mail.

b. The amount of migration left is fairly small. The last ones to be migrated will most likely be the most difficult.

c. If you haven’t changed over, you will not receive any more email on the day of retirement. Will have to call and change, and then use same Kerberos.

d. Migration will be to Microsoft unless you ask to be migrated to Davis Mail

e. Feedback from CIT
   i. *Call the ones who are holding out instead of email.*
   ii. *Send communication to Chair of Department, rather than tech person.*
   iii. *Call people personally.
   iv. *make Davis Mail option more obvious.
   v. *timeline looks fine.

IV. Guidance in communicating the mandatory cybersecurity training for faculty to Senate Faculty as a subset of employees

a. This is definitely happening, only change that can be made is in the letter notifying faculty.

b. Jim – do we have a choice on how frequently we can take the test?
   i. Once a year, and it is mandatory.
c. Will it be similar to ethics test? Timed? Do you have to stay for the whole time? Who designed this test (may have been internally designed).
d. Will there be different levels for people who do different work?
e. CIT Feedback on letter
   i. *Put the fact that it is required in the first paragraph. Perhaps bolded. “UC Office of the President is rolling out a comprehensive training program for all UC employees that is required’.
   ii. *Give info on why it needs to be annual.
   iii. *Make it clear what level is being targeted.

V. University Committee on Academic Computing and Communications
   a. Meets in Oakland once a month.
   b. On Mondays,
   c. **ask missing members

VI. Committee meetings
   a. November 20th
   b. Will poll about December