Meeting Topics

1. Current Whiteboard discussion items
   a. RFC: International Agreement Proposal and Approval Process, due February 10th
   b. Nominations: Cancer Center ORU review team, due February 10th

2. GLP Discussion
   Craig Allison and Gerhard Bauer presented information and took questions on GLP research and regulations.
   GLP requires:
   - Enhanced documentation at all points in the research/manufacturing process
   - A study director who is independent of the PI, reporting to the QA unit, could be a different person for each study/lab
   - Quality Assurance group (the organization of this group is at the discretion of the university). Probably a group of 6-10 faculty with broad expertise and someone (maybe from Office of Research) to check on compliance issues
   - A fee for service model
   - Dedicated animal facility space
   - Dedicated storage space that is calibrated and monitored (refrigerators/freezers)
   - Facilities can’t be on tax-free bonds

   Why should we do this?
   - Funding opportunities
   - Moving products to development is for the public good
   - Can create training programs for students and scientists on GLP

   Why shouldn’t we do this?
   - Increases risk of audit by FDA inspectors
   - The public and government may see this as the university acting too much like a business

   Why would industry want us to do this?
   - They’re already outsourcing this work, we’d be another provider
   - They don’t have the animal models
   - They like our vet school and primate center

   Next steps:
   - Need involvement and support of Dean’s in the affected schools/colleges
   - Review of facilities that are currently GLP compliant or could easily by GLP compliant
   - Faculty/Senate support
• Information about the number interested faculty
• Information about the possible demand from industry for this work
• Review of possible administrative models (consider similar institutions)

Items available for the committee to review on the ASIS whiteboard:
• *Research Compliance Program at Texas A&M*
• *Establishing GLP Infrastructure (Adamo, Bauer, et al.)*
• *Dr. Gerhard’s powerpoint slides*
• *Draft of GLP survey*

3. Updates from COR Representatives
   - UCORP: Janet Foley [no update]
   - Chemical and Lab Safety (CLSC): Delmar Larsen [no update]
   - Research Core Advisory Council (RCAC): Nick Curro [update provided by Klaus van Bentham]: At the last meeting the RCAC discussed adding more facilities to its list, but decided not to for now. Their current list is 17 facilities, selected primarily because they are well run, not based on financial sustainability. Of the 17, one is not subsidized, the other 16 are subsidized at rates of 20-80%. Average subsidization rates around the nation is 23-30%. Four are housed in the Office of Research, the others are housed in colleges/departments.
   - Provost/Senate Chairs: Diana Davis [no update]
   - Academic Senate Representative Assembly: Diana Davis [no update]
   - Academic Senate Executive Council: Diana Davis: The search for a new chancellor is now with the President, the recruitment advisory committee has concluded its work. The President and the Provost have charged the campuses with providing enrollment visions of their campus in 2040. If all resources were provided, what is the maximum enrollment that could be achieved? CPB will be working with BIA on this task.

4. Library Discussion [item was tabled until March meeting]

5. Research Grant Planning
   March meeting – We will review the large grant rubric.
   April meeting – We will make decisions on small grant awardees and assign reviewers for large grants.
   May meeting – We will award large grants. Committee voted to hold one long meeting in May to review the large grants. Meeting will be scheduled for four hours, though three hours may be enough.

6. Consent Items:
   a. Approval of 12/8/2016 Meeting Summary
   b. Approval of 1/12/2017 Meeting Summary