

Academic Federation Executive Council (AFEC)

Agenda

(Draft until approved)

Thursday, June 7, 2012

9:00 am – 11:00 am

2005 Plant & Environmental Sciences

Note

All pertinent documents are attached to the meeting call and/or posted in ASIS. To save resources, we no longer print agendas or meeting calls.

**

Approval of the meeting summary for May 23, 2012.

Announcements –

1. Chair update
2. Update from campus committees

Old Business –

1. Revision of AF bylaws (pending items noted below)
 - a. Combination of Communications and Public Affairs Committee
 - b. Emeritus participation in AF
 - c. AF Excellence in Teaching
 - d. Editorial changes to bylaws
 - i. Remove Program Coordinator title

New Business –

1. Update from 2020 Initiative Task Force representatives
 - a. Carolyn Penny – Facilities Planning
 - b. Eric Schroeder – Enrollment Management
 - c. Joe DiTomaso - Academic Resources
2. Update from Athletics Administrative Advisory Committee (AAAC) representative – Jared Haynes
3. GSA and ASUCD Resolutions for Police Interactions with UC Davis Campus (attached)
4. Update from committees:
 - a. James H. Meyer Award
 - b. AF CoC

Academic Federation Executive Council (AFEC)

Meeting Summary

(Draft until approved)

Wednesday, May 23, 2012

1:00 pm – 3:00 pm

Mrak 410

Note

All pertinent documents are attached to the meeting call and/or posted in ASIS. To save resources, we no longer print agendas or meeting calls.

**

Approval of the meeting summary for April 18, 2012. – Approved

Announcements –

1. Chair update
2. Update from campus committee

Campus Community Council – This council was put together by the Chancellor and has met twice. This is a pretty diverse group of individuals and they are trying to meet every 3 weeks and each constituency group has 2 representatives. The purpose/goal is for direct communication and access (Direct access to the Chancellor).

- One key issue that has been brought up has been that there have been issues with communication with the administration. Sharing of information. The group wants to encourage open discussion without fear of retaliation.
- Question about the Senate and oversight of the campus budget.
- As the Senate voice grows, the Federation voice shrinks.

Action Item: Confirm with the 3 2020 AF reps on the 2020 task force that they will attend the next AFEC meeting on Thursday, June 7 from 9-11am in Plant Sciences, Room 2005.

Action Item:

Confirm with Jared Haynes (AF rep on AAAC) that he can attend the June 7 meeting. Jared will give us an update on athletics.

Old Business –

1. Revision of AF bylaws (pending items noted below)
 - a. Combination of Communications and Public Affairs Committee
 - b. Emeritus participation in AF
 - c. Editorial changes to bylaws
 - i. Remove Program Coordinator title

New Business –

1. Use of MIV for AF Actions – Marlene Clarke
We were originally told that MIV was going to be the new review system. There is still no place for teaching publications. Academic Affairs is now calling it a dossier review system and not a complete review system. Library is supposed to go to it in 2013. There is the caveat that if it is not what the librarians need; then the unions will not endorse the system. In regard to UWP titles in MIV - MIV makes it really easy not to look at all of the appropriate documents. Some folks have 15-25 courses for a review and up to 47 if it was a 6 year review. This will hit all of the AF titles at some point.

2. Federation Committees Discussion
 - a. Committee on Excellence in Teaching – Jay Grossi
 - i. Discussion of proposed bylaw revision

Action Item:

Document will be sent back to the committee with AF Exec. Council recommendations for wording change.

- b. Committee on Research - Zeljka Smit-McBride
 - i. AF Research questionnaire report

Action Item:

The report will be endorsed once folks have had a chance to comment via the ASIS whiteboard. It will then be passed on to Yaya and the AF working group.

Ad Hoc Police Committee

Resolutions approved by the GSA:

Resolutions approved by ASUCD and GSA:

*** Marks comments by ASUCD**

1: The GSA recommends that the police chief engage in community based hiring practices. Representatives from GSA, ASUCD, and Academic Senate will be present on the UCDPD hiring committees and will be allowed to ask questions and vote. At least two positions on this hiring committee will be filled by individuals representing minority rights (including LGBTQ students, students of color, etc). We believe the Office of Student Affairs is best equipped to assign these positions.

***2A:** The GSA recommends that newly hired UCD police officers will be introduced to the UCD campus by members of the campus community. Newly hired UCD Police officers will be introduced to the ASUCD, GSA, LSA, GAC, CCC, LGBTQRC, Women's Center, Greeks, Athletics, and other campus organizations, and will be given tours of the campus by student volunteers from one or more of the groups listed above.

* ASUCD says, "Please include current officers to the training too. Please include SCC to the list of groups. Concern: How will the police interact with rest of the students who are not involved with these organizations (ASUCD, GSA, LSA, GAC, CCC, LGBTQRC, Women's Center, Greeks, Athletics)".

3: Transparency of Training of UCD Police Officers

The GSA recommends that the UCDPD make the training of their police officers more transparent. This could include publishing the training policies, and training agenda on their website. Furthermore, the UCDPD should allow members of the community to observe training.

4A: The GSA recommends that the UC Davis Police Department implement an account management model in which each officer is assigned as a liaison to a designated group on campus (e.g., student or faculty organization, dormitory hall, etc.). Under this model, the officer will be held responsible for meeting with the group regularly and maintaining open lines of communication. (See the Harvard Committee Report on Improved University Policing for more details.)

***4B:** The GSA suggests that the UCPD hold consistent office hours in the MU (or another location central to campus) in order to allow members of the campus community to drop by and express their opinions and concerns about policing issues.

* The ASUCD says "Add Silo as one of the locations as well."

5A: The GSA recommends that the position of UC Davis campus ombudsman be created.

5C: The GSA believes that Warn Me alerts should avoid descriptions which include only the race and gender of the suspect because they place marginalized populations on campus under suspicion.

5D: The GSA calls upon UC Davis to conduct campus-wide, randomized surveys about UCD campus community members' experiences with the police every two years, beginning as soon as possible and no later than the 2012-13 school year.

7A: Establish clear guidelines for dealing with protests, which include communication between UCD administration and protestors

The GSA recommends that the UCDPD, UCD administration, and the UCD campus community should establish clear rules and guidelines for how the university handles protests and civil disobedience. These rules should require: 1) UCD administration engage in two-way communication with protestors before taking action against them- by sending empowered delegates to speak on behalf of the UCD administration with protestors, 2) clear guidelines as to what constitutes a minor and major violations of campus policy and clear guidelines that limit penalty assigned to minor violations of campus policy, 3) clear restrictions as to when and how police force may be used, and 4) that protestors be informed of their rights- including where and to what extent they are still able to exercise free expression.

8C: The GSA Ad Hoc Police Committee will combine with other police committees, seek representation from other groups (including ASUCD, LSA, and Academic Senate) and will continue to investigate the relationship between UCDPD and the UCD campus community, and alternative policing strategies.