## Annual Report: Academic Year 2007-2008
### Davis Division: Academic Senate

### GRADUATE COUNCIL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Meetings</th>
<th>Meeting frequency</th>
<th>Average hours of committee work each week:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Monthly (2 in June)</td>
<td>Council Chair: 5, Subs - APD Chair: 3, Courses Chair: 1, EPC Chair: 4, PRC Chair: 6, S&amp;W Chair: 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total 370 Reviewed (courses, proposals, cases, etc.)</th>
<th>Total of reviewed 42 deferred from the previous year</th>
<th>Total 92 deferred to the coming academic year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Listing of bylaw changes proposed:

**None for the Council (many for graduate programs; see below)**

### Listing of committee policies established or revised:

**See below**

### Issues considered by the committee

**See below**

### Recommended procedural or policy changes for the coming year:

**See below**

### Committee’s narrative:


TO: THE REPRESENTATIVE ASSEMBLY OF THE
DAVIS DIVISION OF THE ACADEMIC SENATE

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE GRADUATE COUNCIL
2007-2008

The Graduate Council is a standing committee of the Divisional Academic Senate and is responsible for regulating and making recommendations on matters pertaining to graduate education and postdoctoral scholar issues. The Graduate Council met on ten occasions during the 2007-2008 academic year, an average of once a month October through May, and twice in June. All of the meetings were two hours except for the June meetings which were six and four hours respectively.

The Council is supported by a structure which includes the following subcommittees: (1) the Academic Planning and Development (APD) Committee, (2) the Administrative Committee, (3) the Bylaws Committee, (4) the Chair’s Advisory Committee, (5) the Courses Committee, (6) the Educational Policy Committee (EPC), (7) the Program Review Committee (PRC), and (8) the Support and Welfare Committee.

GRADUATE COUNCIL GOALS
Below we present a summary in two parts. The first part discusses from a broad perspective the GC actions and accomplishments during 2007-2008. In particular, it singles out the actions with greatest potential impact. Detailed reports from the GC subcommittees provide a more exhaustive list of actions. The second part of this annual report addresses the issues and policies that it is already clear will concern the GC during the coming 2008-2009 academic year. Some have very broad and important implications.

Graduate Council Detailed Summary of Actions and Accomplishments: 2007-2008

Below, we highlight the items of greatest significance as regards their impact on graduate education and future planning.

1. Our main goal for the year was to find a realistic and actionable formulation of the ideas for improving graduate education at U.C. Davis that had been discussed by GC and its Academic Planning and Development (APD) subcommittee during the previous year (see “Overcoming Challenges to Graduate Education at U.C. Davis” from the 2006-2007 GC). Early in the year, Academic Senate chair Linda Bisson also sent a direct request to us for a plan of action. A lengthy series of recommendations (see “Report on Graduate Education at U.C. Davis” -- RGEUCD, June 18, 2008) was prepared by the GC (spearheaded by the APD) and forwarded to Linda Bisson and to her successor Bob Powell late in June, 2008. Those with real “teeth” were three:

   a. GC recommends (section A.1 of the RGEUCD) that the relevant APM210 be altered to include specific phrases whereby every academic senate faculty member be explicitly allowed (but not required) to teach 20% of the time at the graduate level and that graduate-level teaching be explicitly included as a component of the evaluation process for promotion. Suggested specific language appears in Appendix E of the RGEUCD. This recommendation is consistent with the general campus target be that graduate education and student population should rise to about the 20% level as a percentage of the campus’ overall mission.
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b. GC recommends (section A.3 of the RGEUCD) that a certain number of faculty positions be specifically targeted for allocation to departments that agree (via MOUs) to provide teaching for four courses in one or more graduate groups --- the person hired need not have expertise in any of the graduate groups (although this might be desirable), i.e. the area of hire would be entirely up to the department and the individual hired need not do any of the MOU teaching --- others in the given department could provide the teaching.

c. GC recommends (section C.1 of the RGEUCD) the reinstitution of a coordinating committee to make recommendations for faculty hiring that would take into account the needs of graduate groups.

Other recommendations included that any new proposal for a graduate program would have to include specific MOUs with departments and Deans for teaching commitments for their core courses, administrative support and so forth.

2. In a related action, GC endorsed two recommendations from its Program Review (PRC) subcommittee: a) that graduate groups work with the Dean of Graduate Studies (DGS) to develop the ability to make multi-year funding offers to incoming students, with the DGS providing a back-up of final resort; b) that graduate groups work with the DGS to improve the status of TA positions for graduate group status vis-a-vis their ability to obtain a stable commitment for TA support from one or more departments. These would provide a remedy to the two most recurrent issues that graduate students in graduate groups complain very strongly about.

3. In March, 2000, CCGA became concerned as to whether or not programs throughout the UC system were really implementing the capstone requirement for the master’s degree in the Plan II option (non-thesis). Indeed, it appears that at U.C. Davis several programs grant Plan II master’s to students who pass a written exam that does not really fulfill the capstone requirement in that it does not cover all the course work, and in many cases is given too early (sometimes after just the first year of course work) to be able to do so. In response to this situation, the EPC subcommittee of GC developed a set of possible scenarios whereby a program’s Plan II would be deemed to have satisfied the capstone requirement of CCGA. Programs will be free to seek approval of other possibilities from EPC (that would have to be approved by the full GC). This capstone initiative will definitely impact the manner in which some programs on campus grant Plan II master’s degrees.

4. Additional recommendations made by and actions of GC during the year included the following:

   a. GC recommended that diversity be included as a component of Graduate Program evaluation; this will be implemented by the PRC as part of their review guidelines to be sent to programs and as part of the reviews themselves.

   b. GC recommended that an adhoc committee be formed within APD to explore and advise about specific recommendations for consolidation of graduate groups (GGs) and other related actions that might lead to increased efficiency for GGs.

   c. GC developed an MOU with the Office of Research regarding the review of ORUs. There has been a tendency in recent years for the reviews of ORUs to not include information that would allow GC and its PRC subcommittee to properly assess and comment on the impact of an ORU on graduate education. This was apparent this
last year in the review of the Bodega Marine Laboratory ORU --- the information provided was completely inadequate for PRC evaluation. The MOU specifies in detail the information that every ORU adhoc review committee must provide to the GC/PRC in order for us make a proper evaluation, and the Office of Research agrees in the MOU to make sure that this information is provided.

d. The GC worked to develop complete templates for a number of standard submissions to the GC subcommittees. The idea was that graduate programs need only fill in the blanks in standard templates for: ByLaws; Course Approvals; New Programs and Degrees; Changes in degree and admission requirements; and similar. These templates were developed by the relevant GC subcommittees.

e. Towards the end of the 2006-2007 year, GC and EPC worked on a new presentation of the Ph.D. plans A,B,C contained in DDR520. The judgment at the time was that the existing descriptions were confusing and not presented in a sufficiently “parallel” manner. The 2007—2008 GC reviewed and modified the final proposals from the 2006-2007 GC and forwarded the suggested DDR520 revisions to the Representative Assembly where they were approved.

f. As a result of one GC discussion with the DGS, it became apparent that many graduate programs were not aware of the special funding opportunities that were available through the OGS and DGS. GC recommended that the DGS include a review of these opportunities in his annual meetings with GP chairs. The current DGS will implement this practice beginning fall 2008.

g. GC approved revisions regarding the number of members of each of its subcommittees. Basically, the subcommittees have become overworked as the oversight commitments of the GC have increased. Thus, increases in the number of members, especially academic senate members, were adopted.

h. GC approved guidelines for exempting graduate groups with members from many different disciplines from the usual requirement that the Qualifying Exam Committee for the Ph.D. have at least one member external to the program. Basically, GC will only allow such exemptions if the program commits to ensuring that the QE committee has an appropriately diverse representation from within the group. Exemption policy requests must be individually submitted to the GC Administrative Committee and must include a detailed description of exactly how QE committees will be constructed.

5. Actions and responses regarding ASIS postings

a. During the 2006-2007 year, an ASIS item regarding “The Role of Graduate Students in Education” appeared. Our judgment at the time was that it was very flawed and contained many proposals that would negatively impact our graduate students, especially as regards their ability to obtain appropriate teaching experience as part of their graduate work. Modifications were proposed and forwarded to systemwide (through Gina Anderson). This last year (2007-2008) a revised version of the document came to ASIS. GC was very pleased to see that all of the changes we had suggested were incorporated in the new version.

b. The plan for a “School of Nursing” was posted on ASIS. This was reviewed by GC. Our conclusion was that it was a wonderful idea, especially given the special funds
from outside sources that had been offered in its support. However, GC also felt that those in charge of implementing the SON had not yet given sufficiently detailed consideration to all the more mundane details of degree requirements, course requirements and so on that are the responsibility of GC. Our reply to the ASIS posting outlined all the things that the SON needed to develop specifics for.

More recently, CCGA has sent the SON proposal back to its proposers without approving it in large part because of the lack of the precise specifics that GC was concerned about. GC hopes very much that an improved proposal, developed perhaps in consultation with the EPC and Courses GC subcommittees, will be forthcoming for this very important initiative.

Graduate Council Concerns, Goals and Plans for 2008-2009

1. **RGEUCD**: GC plans to work hard to get the campus to adopt the recommendations of the "Report on Graduate Education at UC Davis". Several of the key recommendations will require an eventual vote by the Representative Assembly once the Executive Council and others have endorsed them. Of course, modifications might be proposed and necessary during the process. This will be a primary task for this year's APD.

2. **NRT**: Despite the Memorial of a few years ago asking that NRT be removed for graduate students, few changes have occurred. Indeed, budgetary restrictions have made it necessary for the DGS to stop offering the 4th year matching of NRT waivers for students on major external fellowships. The DGS does still make available for “Special NRT fellowships” in particularly compelling cases. The GC remains very concerned about the NRT issue, but is unable to see any feasible line of action at the moment.

3. **Professional Degrees**: In 1995, CCGA decided that the degree titles M.D., D.D.S., D.V.M., Pharm.D. and J.D. should be exempt from Senate oversight, thereby allowing relevant professional schools to approve new programs with these degree titles and to be more or less self-governing. At U.C. Davis, there are a number of such degrees administered with little or no GC oversight.

Recently, CCGA has decided to reassert its authority (and thereby the authority of local GCs) with regard to at least the approval of new programs with such degrees. CCGA also noted that the satisfaction of accreditation requirements should not serve as a proxy for the rigorous review of new graduate programs performed by the Academic Senate. The CCGA letter appears to leave the oversight of established degree programs up to the discretion of their campus Graduate Councils or their designees. However, the situation is confusing. The transferal letter regarding the CCGA decision by Provost Grey states that CCGA concurs that ongoing oversight is best left to to professional schools offering these five degree titles pursuant to Standing Order of the Regents 105.2(b), but this not actually stated in the CCGA letter to Provost Brown --- clarification is needed. Indeed, it is not clear whether CCGA has the authority to overrule 105.2(b) which states that “the Senate shall have no authority over courses … in professional schools offering work at the graduate level only or over non-degree courses in the University Extension”. This leaves open the question of things other than courses, such as degree requirements.

An example, where the approval process may become relevant is the Masters component of the SON discussed earlier which would offer a professional degree in Nursing. In any case, it will be appropriate for the GC to develop an understanding of exactly what CCGA is ruling and how it melds with 105.2(b). It should perhaps review the various degrees and programs
in this category that are currently self-governing and to decide whether the current practices should continue or alternatively that GC should reassert all or some aspects of oversight for existing degrees of this type assuming that this is allowed by the latest CCGA rulings and 105.2(b).

4. **Remote Education**: One of the ASIS postings from last year concerned the definition of remote education and the associated issue of residency as remote education becomes more prevalent. GC is anticipating a great increase in the requests for approval of remote education courses. GC is also expecting that many programs will not realize that remote education enhancements for existing courses must be approved by GC. GC needs to educate itself more about the extent to which remote education is a viable alternative to the existing on-campus instruction. In particular, what components should a remotely taught course include (e.g. interactive video links, …) in order that a remotely taught course really fulfill the quality requirements of UC. During 2008-2009, GC will hear from proponents of remote education, including several professors who are actively teaching in this manner, and plans to develop guidelines for remotely taught courses. The EPC and APD will be heavily involved in advising the GC on these issues.

**GRADUATE COUNCIL ACTIONS LISTING: 2007-2008**

A summary of the Council’s actions for the year is provided below. In addition, annual reports for the subcommittees are provided. The item dates are typically those of Council’s meetings.

**A. UC systemwide items.** Graduate Council reviewed and commented on:
- Proposal to Revise the Winter Schedule, 12/12/07

**B. UCD campus items.** Graduate Council reviewed and commented on:
- ORU Reviews, 11/14/07
- ORU: Bodega Marine Laboratory – Ten-Year Review, 11/14/07
- DDR 520C; Changes to Dissertation Plans A/B/C, 12/12/07
- Quarter Abroad Name Change Approval Request, 1/9/08
- Amend PPM 230-05; Individual Conflicts of Interest Involving Research, 4/9/08
- Rescission of Academic Personnel Policy 350 – Postgrad Researcher, 5/14/08
- Proposed UC Davis Calendar, 5/14/08
- APD Report on Graduate Education, response to Academic Senate Chair Bisson, 4/9/08, 5/14/08, 6/11/08
- ORU: Air Quality Research – 3-Year Review, 6/11/08, 6/13/08

**C. Current Items related to Graduate Studies and Graduate Council in 2007-2008.** Graduate Council proposed, addressed, or received reports and updates on the following:
- Electronic submission of theses and dissertations, 10/8/07
- Graduate Student Support Proposal, 11/14/07
- Master’s Thesis Submission Fee, 11/14/07
- Delegation of GC Authority to Professional Graduate Programs, 12/12/07
- Information Technology Roadmap, 12/12/07
- UC Undergraduate Mission Statement, 12/12/07
- “Dialectic” Paper on Remote/Online Instruction, 2/20/08
• Graduate Student Diversity at the UC, 3/12/08, 5/14/08
• Long-Range Graduate Enrollment Planning, 3/12/08
• Exclusion of External Members from Departmentally-Based Graduate Programs, 3/12/08
• Exception for External Member on Qualifying Exam, 3/12/08
• APD Report on Graduate Education, response to Academic Senate Chair Bisson, 4/9/08, 5/14/08, 6/11/08
• Proposal to Improve the Status of Graduate Group Students Employed as Teaching Assistants, 5/14/08, 6/11/08
• Proposal for Multi-Year Student Funding Safety Nets, 5/14/08, 6/11/08

D. Graduate Council Guidelines and Policies, approved or revised in 2007-2008
• Graduate Council Handbook of Guidelines for Members, updated electronic copy, 10/8/07
• Graduate Program Review Guidelines, 11/14/07
• Non-Responsive Programs Policy, Timeline for Submissions, 12/12/07
• Degree Requirements Guidelines and Format, 7/08
• Reduction of Workload 2009-10, Proposal for Rescheduling Reviews, 5/14/08
• EPC Guidelines for Capstone Experience, 6/13/08

E. New Graduate Program Proposals
• School of Nursing, 1/9/08
• Communications PhD, second review, 5/14/08, 6/11/08

F. Designated Emphasis (DE) programs

New Affiliations of Ph.D. Programs with DE programs, approved:
• DE in Classics & Classical Tradition – Affiliation with Philosophy, 2/20/08

Proposed DE programs approved:
• DE in Translational Research, 2/20/08
• DE in Writing, Rhetoric and Composition Studies, 6/13/08

G. Graduate Academic Certificates (NEW)

Proposed GAC programs approved:
• GAC in Second Language Acquisition, 10/8/07
• GAC in Air Quality and Health, 11/14/07
• GAC in Conservation Management, 6/13/08

H. Degree Requirement and Curriculum Changes for Graduate Programs forwarded by the Educational Policy Committee and approved:
• Agricultural & Environmental Chemistry, 11/14/07
• Mathematics, 12/12/07
• Animal Behavior, 2/20/08
• Linguistics, 2/20/08
• Statistics, 5/14/08, 6/11/08, 6/13/08
• Pharmacology & Toxicology, 5/14/08, 6/11/08, 6/13/08
I. Administrative Committee actions
The Administrative Committee met 8 times during the 2007-2008 academic year and the following summer. The 17 appeals considered include:

- 2 requests for exceptions to policy
- 8 appeals of disqualification
- 5 appeals of a split decision on qualifying examinations
- 1 request by a program for an exception to qualifying examination membership
- 1 proposal for an individual Ph.D.

J. Program Bylaws, revised or new, approved
- Biotechnology DE, 10/8/07
- Linguistics, 10/8/07
- Mechanical & Aeronautical Engineering, 10/8/07
- Spanish, 10/8/07
- Classics & the Classical Tradition DE, 11/14/07
- Communication, 11/14/07
- Education M.A./Credential, 11/14/07
- Education Ph.D., 11/14/07
- Geography, 11/14/07
- Animal Behavior, 5/14/08
- Immunology, 5/14/08
- Molecular, Cellular & Integrative Physiology, 6/11/08
- Neuroscience, 6/11/08, 6/13/08

K. Name Changes of Graduate Programs approved
- From Textile Arts and Costume Design to Design, 4/21/06, Chancellor’s approval 7/7/06, CCGA approval 2/08

L. Graduate Program Review
One of the major responsibilities of the Graduate Council is the review of graduate programs on a regularly scheduled basis. Please see the Program Review Committee (PRC) report. The following actions related to program reviews were taken by Council during 2007-2008.

Program Reviews initiated for 2009-2010. Programs selected by PRC, approved 5/14/08:
- Cell & Developmental Biology
- Forensic Science
- Comparative Pathology
- Neuroscience
- Feminist Theory & Research DE
- Social Theory & Comparative History DE

Postponement of initiation of program reviews:
- Comparative Pathology, 12/12/07
- Computer Science, 1/9/08

Program Review Reports approved; transmittal letters approved;
Programs and administrators will respond to Council’s recommendations.
Geography, 1/9/08  
Classics and the Classical Tradition, 4/9/08  
Spanish, 4/9/08  
Psychology, 5/14/08  
Animal Behavior, 5/14/08  
Art Studio, 5/14/08  
Economics, 6/11/08  
Mechanical and Aeronautical Engineering, 6/11/08  
Nutritional Biology, 6/11/08  
Plant Pathology, 6/13/08  
English, 6/13/08  
Art History, 6/13/08  
Molecular, Cellular and Integrative Physiology, 6/13/08

Program review closures:
- Chemical Engineering, 2/20/08  
- Ecology, 2/20/08  
- Entomology, 2/20/08  
- Epidemiology, 2/20/08  
- Viticulture & Enology, 2/20/08  
- Cultural Studies, 4/9/08  
- Education MA/Credential Programs, 4/9/08  
- Biomedical Engineering, 4/9/08  
- International Commercial Law, 4/9/08

M. Course Approvals  
The subcommittee received 264 course requests, of which:
- 105 were new courses  
- 110 were course changes  
- 49 were cancelled courses  

There are a total of 92 outstanding course requests as carry-over for the 2008-2009 academic year, which are waiting in the GCCS queue for review from January 8, 2008 - August 31, 2008 (50 of which are from the Graduate School of Management MGB title code addition).

N. Closing  

In closing, Graduate Council wishes to thank all of those who have given of their time in support of graduate education and postdoctoral scholar issues during the past year. In particular, the contributions of the members of subcommittees and of the ad hoc review committees have been extremely valuable and are deeply appreciated by the Council.

Respectfully submitted,

John F. Gunion, Chair  
2007-2008 Graduate Council
Members: John F. Gunion, Chair; Nicole Baumgarth, Vice Chair; Matthew Farrens, ex officio, CCGA Representative; Anne Britt; Peggy, Farnham; Jeffery Gibeling, Dean, ex officio; Rachael Goodhew; Lynette Hunter; Andre Knoesen; Tonya Kuhl; Walter Leal; Martha Macri; Hans-Georg Mueller; Jeffrey Schank.

Academic Federation Representatives: Amy Clark and Mari Golub.

Graduate Studies Representatives: Associate Deans Edward Caswell-Chen and Lenora Timm.

Graduate Student Representatives: James Hodgson, GSA Chair; Thomas Aguilar, GSA Vice Chair; and Toby Beauchamp, Graduate Student Assistant to the Dean and Chancellor.

Postdoctoral Scholar Representatives: PSA Chair Abhinav Bhushan, and PSA Treasurer/Secretary Rita Mehta.

Graduate Studies Directors: Steven Albrecht, Hector Cuevas, Cathy Jurado and Yuhang Shi.

Graduate Studies Committee Analysts: Lee Wilce, Marsha Gibeling, and Adrienne Wonhof.

This report was prepared by the Graduate Council Analyst and the subcommittee chairs and staff. The report was reviewed and approved by the 2007-2008 Graduate Council during the period of September 11-19, 2008. Revisions were forwarded to the Graduate Council Chair and Analyst and incorporated in the final report.
APPENDIX 1. SUBCOMMITTEE REPORTS
ACADEMIC PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT (APD) COMMITTEE

The Academic Planning & Development (APD) Committee’s charge includes advising Graduate Council on matters related to the:

1. Future needs and directions in graduate education,
2. General issues related to graduate education,
3. Reports and recommendations to the Representative Assembly on matters pertaining to graduate work,
4. Reports to the Council on needs and procedures for coordination of various departments, graduate programs and schools for conferring of degrees higher than the Bachelor’s degree including fund raising and enrollments, and
5. Postdoctoral Scholar issues.

The APD Committee usually consists of 3-7 Academic Senate members, 1 Graduate Student, 1 Postdoctoral Scholar, 1 Academic Federation Representative, and the Graduate Dean or Dean's designee. In 2007-2008, the committee members were: Hans-Georg Mueller (APD Chair, Statistics), Nicole Baumgarth (Center for Comparative Medicine and Pathology, Microbiology and Immunology, Vet Med), Tonya Kuhl (Chemical Engineering & Materials Science), Roberta Millstein (Philosophy), Shirley Chiang (Physics), Kathleen Ward (Academic Federation, Linguistics), Joshua Clover (English), Mont Hubbard (Mechanical & Aeronautical Engineering), Albert Fannjiang (Mathematics), Wei Xu (Graduate Student Representative, Agricultural & Environmental Chemistry), Lenora Timm (Associate Dean for Students, Graduate Studies).

During the 2007-2008 academic year, the Committee met seven times, including a series of half-day meetings. The Committee focused on developing a report regarding recommended changes in graduate education, in response to a charge laid out by Academic Senate Chair Linda Bisson at the beginning of the academic year.

This process involved the discussion of a large number of issues and ideas, which were distilled to a few key recommendations. Details can be found in the final version of the committee report, "REPORT ON GRADUATE EDUCATION AT UC DAVIS, Prepared by the Committee on Academic Planning and Development 2007-2008", June 18, 2008. http://www.gradstudies.ucdavis.edu/gradcouncil/APD%20Report%20Grad%20Education%202008.pdf
APPENDIX 2. SUBCOMMITTEE REPORTS
ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE

The Administrative Committee reviews student petitions, requests, and appeals concerning such issues as examinations, residency and degree requirements. In addition, the committee also reviews program requests for blanket exceptions to Council policies.

Committee members in 2007-2008: John F. Gunion, Chair of Graduate Council and Committee; Nicole Baumgarth, Vice Chair of Graduate Council; Edward Caswell-Chen, Associate Dean for Graduate Programs; Lenora Timm, Associate Dean for Students; Toby Beauchamp, Graduate Student Assistant to the Dean and Chancellor; and by invitation, Cathy Jurado, Director of Graduate Admissions and Academic Services.

The Administrative Committee met 8 times during the 2007-2008 academic year and the following summer. The 17 appeals included:

- 2 requests for exceptions to policy
- 8 appeals of disqualification
- 5 appeals of a split decision on qualifying examinations
- 1 request by a program for an exception to qualifying examination membership
- 1 proposal for an individual Ph.D.
APPENDIX 3. SUBCOMMITTEE REPORTS
BYLAWS COMMITTEE

The Bylaws Committee reviews bylaws for new programs and revised bylaws for existing programs.

Committee Membership: Chair: Walter Leal. Member: Chris Calvert. Staff Analysts: Marsha Gibeling, Adrienne Wonhof. The Bylaws Committee conducted most of its business via e-mail and telephone.

For the 2007-2008 academic year, the Committee had 27 sets of bylaws in various stages of review with 13 sets approved and 14 sets currently in the review process.

Bylaws approved by Council:
- Animal Behavior
- Communication
- DE in Biotechnology
- DE in Classics & the Classical Tradition
- Education M.A./Credential
- Education Ph.D.
- Geography
- Immunology
- Linguistics
- Mechanical & Aeronautical Engineering
- Molecular, Cellular & Integrative Physiology
- Neuroscience
- Spanish

Bylaws in the review phase:
- Anthropology
- Art Studio
- DE in Stem & Progenitor Cells
- DE in Translational Research
- English
- Forensic Science
- Joint Doctorate in Ecology
- Joint Doctorate Forensic & Behavioral Science
- Mathematics
- Neuroscience
- Native American Studies
- Physics
- Plant Pathology
- Writing, Rhetoric and Composition Studies
APPENDIX 4. SUBCOMMITTEE REPORTS
CHAIR’S ADVISORY COMMITTEE (CAC)

The Chair’s Advisory Committee, a subcommittee established in 1999-2000, met monthly. The committee is comprised of the current and recent past Chairs of Graduate Council. Its charge is to advise Council on long-range planning and policy issues regarding graduate education on the UCD campus.
APPENDIX 5. SUBCOMMITTEE REPORTS
COURSES COMMITTEE

The Graduate Council Courses Subcommittee’s (GCCS) primary function is to review new course requests, changes to existing courses, and requests for deleting existing graduate courses. Approved course requests are forwarded to the Academic Senate's Committee on Courses of Instruction (COCI) for review and final approval prior to the Registrar's Office. The Chair of the Graduate Council's Courses Subcommittee also serves as an ex officio on the Academic Senate Committee on Courses of Instruction.

Chair: Rachael Goodhue (Agricultural & Resource Economics)

Members: Erwin Bautista (Neurology, Physiology & Behavior; Academic Federation Representative); Beverly Bossler (History); Ian Kennedy (Mechanical & Aeronautical Engineering); Edward Caswell-Chen (Graduate Division, ex officio); Martha Macri (Native American Studies); Brenda Schildgen (Comparative Literature); Christopher Thaiss (University Writing Program); Thomas Young (Civil & Environmental Engineering)

Committee Analyst: Diana Howard (Academic Senate Office)

The Courses Subcommittee met at the beginning of the academic year for training and discussion, and conducted the majority of business via the Course Approval System, the Academic Senate Information System (ASIS), email, and the telephone.

During the service period between September 1, 2007 to August 31, 2008, 356 courses were submitted for GCCS’s review. The subcommittee reviewed and approved 264 course requests (92 course requests are pending in the review process). Of the 264 approved course requests: 105 were new courses, 110 were course changes, and 49 were cancelled courses. There are a total of 92 outstanding course requests as carry-over for the 2008-2009 academic year, which are waiting in the GCCS queue for review from January 8, 2008 - August 31, 2008 (50 of which are from the Graduate School of Management MGB title code addition).
APPENDIX 6. SUBCOMMITTEE REPORTS
EDUCATIONAL POLICY COMMITTEE (EPC)

The Educational Policy Committee (EPC) typically reviews proposals for new graduate programs, designated emphases, and graduate academic certificates. EPC also reviews proposed changes to degree requirements for existing graduate programs. In addition, the EPC is asked for its recommendations regarding miscellaneous academic and programmatic issues and policies.

Committee members in 2007-2008: Committee Chair: Peggy Farnham; Graduate Studies Representative: Edward Caswell-Chen, Associate Dean for Programs; Academic Senate Members: Yannis Dafalias (Winter and Spring Quarters), Mark Grismer, Kari Lokke, Patricia Moran, Jeffrey Schank, Jennifer Schultens, David Simpson (Fall Quarter); Academic Federation Member: Viki Monteria; Student Representative: Alison Keenan; and Graduate Studies Staff: Marsha Gibeling and Adrienne Wonhof.

During the academic year 2007-2008, the Educational Policy Committee met 6 times. However, much of the work was conducted via electronic communication in between the face-to-face meetings. EPC considered numerous proposals and actions, as detailed below. One major change to the review structure was imposed this year. Namely, each item needing review was assigned to one committee member who then worked with the relevant program or department to address points of confusion and to help modify the document such that it met all Graduate Studies requirements and EPC formatting requirements. After several rounds of revision, the document was then reviewed by the entire committee, either electronically or at one of the meetings. The EPC feels that this approach will facilitate more rapid progression of documents through the EPC and Graduate Council.

**EPC Action for 2007-2008**

1. Administrative Issues
   - DDR 520 Doctor of Philosophy. Made minor editorial changes for clarification of the differences of Ph.D. Plans A, B, and C. Approved by Graduate Council 12/12/07.

   **Degree Requirements Policy Statement.** Prepared a policy statement clarifying various issues about Ph.D. and Masters degree requirements. Approved by Graduate Council 2/20/08.

   **New Graduate Degree Program Procedures.** Completed the reorganization and editing of the documents describing the procedures involved in creating a new graduate degree program. Approved by Graduate Council 2/20/08.

   **Non-responsive Programs Policy:** Prepared a policy statement clarifying action to be taken when programs are not responsive to EPC requests. Approved by GC 1/09/08.

   **Master’s Plan II/Capstone options:** Prepared a policy statement clarifying the capstone requirements for a Master’s thesis. Approved by GC 06/12/08.

2. Degree Requirement Changes
   - Agricultural and Environmental Chemistry (approved by GC on 12/12/07)
   - Animal Behavior (approved with minor changes by GC on 2/20/08)
   - Ecology (approved by GC on 12/12/07)
   - Linguistics (approved with minor changes by GC on 2/20/08)
   - Mathematics (approved with minor changes by GC on 12/12/07)
   - Statistics (approved with minor changes by GC on 6/13/08)
3. **New Academic Certificates**
   - Air Quality and Health (approved by GC on 11/8/07)
   - Second Language Acquisition (approved with minor changes by GC 10/8/07)
   - Conservation Management (approved by GC 06/12/08)

4. **New Designated Emphases**
   - Translational Research (approved by GC on 2/20/08)
   - Writing, Rhetoric, & Composition (approved by GC on 6/12/08)

5. **Additional Affiliations for Designated Emphases**
   - Classics and Classical Tradition (approved by GC on 2/20/08)

6. **Other Items:**
   - **School of Nursing:** Reviewed initial documents for the creation of graduate degrees in Nursing. EPC comments approved by GC on 2/20/08.

**Active Items:**

- **Anthropology Degree Requirements:** This document has been discussed in EPC and at Grad Council. Suggestions for changes were provided to program in June, 08. Expect final review in EPC in Fall, 08.

- **Electrical and Computer Engineering Degree Requirements:** This document has been approved by EPC and is ready for Fall Grad Council.

- **German Degree Requirements:** This document has been discussed in EPC. Suggestions for changes were provided to program in July, 08. Expect final review in EPC in Fall, 08.

- **Pharmacology and Toxicology Degree Requirements:** This document has been discussed in EPC and at Grad Council. Approved by GC contingent on changing of timing of Comprehensive Exam. Waiting to hear from program.

- **Energy Science and Technology-New graduate program:** This document has been discussed in EPC. Suggestions for changes were provided to program in July, 08. Expect final review in EPC in Fall, 08.

- **Education Degree Requirements:** This document has been reviewed by EPC. Minor changes were suggested to the program. Expect final review in EPC in Fall, 08.

- **DE in Biotechnology-new affiliations:** This document has been reviewed by EPC. Letters were requested. Expect final review in EPC in Fall, 08.

- **Reformatted Graduate Academic Certificate template.** Ready for review by GC in Fall, 08.

- **Reformatted Degree Requirements Guidelines document:** Ready for review by GC in Fall, 08.
APPENDIX 7. SUBCOMMITTEE REPORTS
PROGRAM REVIEW COMMITTEE

The Program Review Committee (PRC) has the primary function of conducting reviews of graduate programs on a regularly scheduled, periodic basis (Graduate programs include graduate groups, departmentally-based graduate programs and designated emphasis programs.) At the completion of a review the PRC recommends action to the Graduate Council.

During the 2007-2008 academic year, the Committee met seven times. The Committee members are: André Knoesen, Chair; James Shackelford, Vice Chair; Richard Bostock; Alan Buckpitt; JoAnn Cannon; Rosemarie Kraft; James MacLachlan; Jay Rosenheim; Barbara Sellers-Young; Kathleen Ward; and Bruce Winterhalder; Academic Federation Representative: Michel Winter; Associate Dean for Graduate Programs: Edward Caswell-Chen, Postdoctoral Scholar: Abhinav Bhushan; Committee Analyst: Lee Wilce. Joan Cadden, James Griesemer, Ines Hernandez-Avila, Phil Martin, and Subhash Risbud chaired reviews but did not serve on the PRC.

Graduate Program Reviews: The PRC began the year with 14 graduate programs to be reviewed. All of the reviews were initiated in the 2006-2007 academic year. All 14 of the reviews have been completed and 13 reviews have been presented to Graduate Council for consideration and approval. The review of the Linguistics Graduate Group will be presented to Graduate Council in Fall 2008.

Graduate Program Reviews and PRC Liaison Assignments:
- Animal Behavior Graduate Group – Bruce Winterhalder
- Art (Studio) MFA Graduate Program – Barbara Sellers-Young
- Art History MA Graduate Program – Joan Cadden
- DE in Classics and the Classical Tradition – Kathleen Ward
- Economics Graduate Program – Phil Martin
- Education Ph.D. Graduate Group – James Shackelford
- English Graduate Program – Ines Hernandez-Avila
- Linguistics Graduate Group – Rosemarie Kraft
- Mechanical and Aeronautical Engineering Graduate Program – Subhash Risbud
- Molecular, Cellular and Integrative Physiology Graduate Group – Richard Bostock
- Nutritional Biology Graduate Group – Alan Buckpitt
- Plant Pathology Graduate Program – Jay Rosenheim
- Psychology Graduate Program – Bruce Winterhalder
- Spanish Graduate Program – JoAnn Cannon

Graduate Program Review Guidelines: During the past academic year the Graduate Program Review Guidelines received two reviews, one in October/November 2007 and one in April/May 2008. Revisions were made in an effort to streamline the process.

Reviews Initiated for the 2009-2010 Academic Year: The Committee recommended and Graduate Council approved the initiation of five graduate program reviews: Cell and Developmental Biology, Forensic Science, Comparative Pathology (postponed one year from 2008-2009), Neuroscience, DE in Feminist Theory and Research, DE in Social Theory and Comparative History. The programs were sent the initiation letters in Spring 2008.
PRC Proposals: The PRC also presented the following proposals to Graduate Council for consideration and approval and Graduate Council approved these proposals:

- PRC Proposal: Initiation of Graduate Reviews, April 22, 2008
- PRC Workload Efficiency, including a Revised Schedule of Program Reviews: “Reduction of Workload for 2009-2010, and Proposal for rescheduling of reviews to even out the workload in future years,” April 22, 2008: http://www.gradstudies.ucdavis.edu/gradcouncil/prc/PRC%20workload%202008.pdf
- PRC Proposal: Diversity in Graduate Programs, April 30, 2008

Other Business: Upon request of Graduate Council Chair, PRC reviewed and commented on an interim report of the Air Quality Resource Center ORU.

Common Issues of Concern that Emerged During the Reviews of the Year:
1) Placement of graduate students: Programs did not present clear information on where their graduates were placed. We recommend that this issue be highlight in the self-review documentation.

2) Administrative Staffing for Graduate Programs and Groups: In an era of diminishing resources, it is important to determine graduate administrative needs and the division of responsibility between faculty and staff; there are insufficient staff in some programs, One solution is to pool administrative support to benefit from economies of scale but in a manner that balances the individual needs of the participating graduate groups and programs with those that benefit from a common supporting structures (e.g. graduate recruiting). This has worked in some cases, but there remain very high expectations on the staff.

Need Dedicated Administrative Support for PRC: PRC can only meet its responsibilities to the review criteria set forth by Graduate Council if there is a dedicated administrative staff support. In the past year the need for such a position has been clearly demonstrated. In the Fall, PRC lost the support of a permanent PRC support staff, who was replaced by Lee Wilce on a temporary basis. PRC benefited and appreciated from her responsiveness to committee members, her organizational skills, and positive attitude. Without notifying the PRC Chair, the Dean of Graduate Studies terminated the support for the temporary PRC staff position in mid May 2008, when 11 of the 14 reviews had to be completed. We expressed our concern that the loss of this assistance will compromise the committee’s work and progress in the future. A distributed administrative support coordinated through an Associate Dean was promised but not delivered. However, inquiries over relatively simple and straightforward issues from faculty and departmental staff went unanswered, even after repeated attempts to contact OGS staff. E-mails and voice-mails seemed to be ignored and there did not seem to be an administrative backup plan to cover staff absences.

Also, the workload on the PRC committee escalated beyond what is reasonable. Anecdotal comments from several departmental offices echo this experience, and raise concerns about the management of that office. We realize that the workload is great, and that support for the
office is probably insufficient to meet the demand. PRC experience this Spring demonstrates the importance for:

- a) a dedicated staff person for PRC for the whole working period of the committee (a distributed support structure, even as an interim measure, is not practical), and
- b) with a focus on scheduling and assistance associated with the review process.

PRC is concerned about the smooth functioning of critical academic senate committees such as PRC without the necessary administrative support from OGS. We recommend to Graduate Council that:

- a) Dean of Graduate Studies make a firm commitment to hire a dedicated administrative assistant for PRC by September 2008, and
- b) That the administrative assistant activities focus on scheduling and assistance in the logistics associated with the review process.

If OGS is unable to provide such assurances, we recommend that Graduate Council recommend that the staff assistance for Graduate Council committees be supervised from the Academic Senate office. In the case of the PRC assistant, this may lead to increased efficiency as similar support structure is being put in place in the Academic Senate office to support reviews of undergraduate programs.

**NOTE:** As of the date of the adoption of the Graduate Council Annual Report, the position of Graduate Programs Assistant had been posted by the Office of Graduate Studies with a final filing date of September 16, 2008.

**Program Review Closure Committee (PRCC)**

The members of the PRCC for the 2007-2008 academic year were: Chair: André Knoesen; Members: Jay Mechling; Andrew Waterhouse; Shrini Upadhyaya; Edward Caswell-Chen; Committee Analyst: Lee Wilce.

The Graduate Council closed the review of the Master of Laws program without sending it to the PRCC. The PRCC’s recommendations to Graduate Council for the closure of the following reviews were considered and approved:

**Program, Date of PRC Report, (date of PRCC letter)**

- Biomedical Engineering, 2006 (4/9/2008)
- Biophysics, 2007 **ED WILL DRAFT**
- Biostatistics, 2007 **ED WILL DRAFT**
- Chemical Engineering, 2006 (1/5/2008)
- Entomology, 2006 (2/13/2008)
- Epidemiology, 2006 (2/14/2008)
- Viticulture and Enology, 2006 (2/13/2008)

The following reviews are still in the follow-up phase:

- DE in Reproductive Biology, 2007, report due 12/1/2008
- Geography, 2007
- Immunology, 2007, report due 6/30/2008
The Support and Welfare Committee reviews applications for a variety of fellowships, including those from private and public sources. These fellowships cover research expenses, travel to present papers at national and international scholarly meetings, as well as full academic year support in designated fields. It also considers a variety of welfare issues related to the academic lives of graduate students and postdoctoral scholars.

Core Committee members in 2007-2008: Anne Britt (Chair), Gregory M Herek (Psychology), Robin Hill (Art), Nelson L Max (Engr Computer Science), Alan S. Taylor (History), Johnny L.K. Terning (Physics), David M. Van Leer (English), Heghnar Watenpaugh (Art), D.W. Waring (Academic Federation Rep, Med: Div Of Internal Med), and staff support provided by Steven Albrecht and Ruth Lee (Office of Graduate Studies).

Fellowship Faculty Reviewers:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Full Name</th>
<th>Program / Dept</th>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Lead Dean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alan Taylor</td>
<td>History</td>
<td>HumSocSci</td>
<td>L&amp;S:SS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne Britt</td>
<td>Plant Biology</td>
<td>BioSciAg</td>
<td>CBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernard Molyneux</td>
<td>Philosophy</td>
<td>HumSocSci</td>
<td>L&amp;S:SS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brenda McCowan</td>
<td>Population Health &amp; Repro.</td>
<td>BioSciAg</td>
<td>SOM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catherine Robson</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>HumSocSci</td>
<td>L&amp;S:HARCS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cesar Fraga</td>
<td>Nutritional Biology</td>
<td>BioSciAg</td>
<td>CA&amp;ES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christiana Drake</td>
<td>Statistics</td>
<td>HumSocSci</td>
<td>L&amp;S:MPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christyann Darwent</td>
<td>Anthropology</td>
<td>HumSocSci</td>
<td>L&amp;S:SS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel Ferenc</td>
<td>Physics</td>
<td>ScienceEngr</td>
<td>L&amp;S:MPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darshan Kelley</td>
<td>Nutritional Biology</td>
<td>BioSciAg</td>
<td>CA&amp;ES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Pleasure</td>
<td>Neuroscience</td>
<td>BioSciAg</td>
<td>CBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Richman</td>
<td>Neuroscience</td>
<td>BioSciAg</td>
<td>CBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dennis Waring</td>
<td>Endocrinology: Med</td>
<td>BioSciAg</td>
<td>SOM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donald Donham</td>
<td>Anthropology</td>
<td>HumSocSci</td>
<td>L&amp;S:SS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric Smoodin</td>
<td>Cultural Studies</td>
<td>HumSocSci</td>
<td>L&amp;S:HARCS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerhard Richter</td>
<td>German</td>
<td>HumSocSci</td>
<td>L&amp;S:HARCS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gregory Herek</td>
<td>Psychology</td>
<td>HumSocSci</td>
<td>L&amp;S:SS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heghnar Watenpaugh</td>
<td>Art History</td>
<td>HumSocSci</td>
<td>L&amp;S:HARCS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hwai-Jong Cheng</td>
<td>Neuroscience</td>
<td>BioSciAg</td>
<td>CBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Smith</td>
<td>Anthropology</td>
<td>HumSocSci</td>
<td>L&amp;S:SS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jian Wu</td>
<td>Comparative Pathology</td>
<td>BioSciAg</td>
<td>VETMED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jie Zheng</td>
<td>Physiology and Membrane Biology</td>
<td>BioSciAg</td>
<td>SOM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Conway</td>
<td>Physics</td>
<td>ScienceEngr</td>
<td>L&amp;S:MPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Terning</td>
<td>Physics</td>
<td>ScienceEngr</td>
<td>L&amp;S:MPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kathy Baynes</td>
<td>Neuroscience</td>
<td>BioSciAg</td>
<td>CBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klaus Nehring</td>
<td>Economics</td>
<td>HumSocSci</td>
<td>L&amp;S:SS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynne Isbell</td>
<td>Anthropology</td>
<td>HumSocSci</td>
<td>L&amp;S:SS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelson Max</td>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>ScienceEngr</td>
<td>ENGR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ning Pan</td>
<td>Biological Systems Engineering</td>
<td>BioSciAg</td>
<td>ENGR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norm Matloff</td>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>ScienceEngr</td>
<td>ENGR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Kelly</td>
<td>Chemistry</td>
<td>BioSciAg</td>
<td>L&amp;S:MPS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fellowships Awarded:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fellowship Name</th>
<th>Number of Applicants</th>
<th>Number of Awards</th>
<th>Award Amount Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crosby, Donald</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$22,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elliott, Marjorie and Charles</td>
<td>779</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$39,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faulkner, Richard and Kate</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$4,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden International Agriculture, William G. and Kathleen</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$41,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate Scholars Fellowship</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>$394,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate Student Researcher in the Humanities</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$45,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones, Fletcher</td>
<td>638</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$27,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kraft, Herbert</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$34,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krantz, Bert and Nell</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee, George</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyons, Austin Eugene</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$204,657.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahan, Laura Perrott</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McArthur, Frank</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$18,494.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKeehan, Beatrice Oberly and S. Atwood</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$52,275.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>President's Predoctoral Fellowship in the Humanities</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$68,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richards, Lillie May</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$16,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwalen, Emily</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,323.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwall Dissertation Year Fellowship, Floyd and Mary</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$30,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwall Medical Fellowship, Floyd and Mary</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$157,694.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stacey, Malcolm</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$21,200.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steindler, John F</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$76,238.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tryon, Herbert</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC Davis African Studies Graduate Fellowship</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$29,388.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCD &amp; Humanities Graduate Research</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>$63,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCD Dissertation Year Fellowship</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$125,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velez, Miguel</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$30,617.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walker, Frank and Carolan</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood, Elizabeth P.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wright, Jarena</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$13,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zolk, George and Dorothy</td>
<td>882</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$44,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>3333</strong></td>
<td><strong>109</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,570,988.63</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Fellowships to support Campus Diversity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fellowship</th>
<th>Number of Applicants</th>
<th>Number of Awards</th>
<th>Award Amount Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cota Robles, Eugene</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$254,405.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissertation Year Fellowship</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$219,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate Research Mentorship</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$174,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McNair</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$86,194.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>373</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>$734,399.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Travel Awards:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of Applicants</th>
<th>Number of Awards</th>
<th>Award Amount Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>For professional meetings held July 1 2007, - June 30 2008</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>$23,750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For professional meetings held Jan 1- Dec 30 2008</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>$30,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>308</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>$53,750.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Grand Total All Awards**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of Applicants</th>
<th>Number of Awards</th>
<th>Award Amount Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4014</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>$2,359,137.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>